

THE HON'BLE SMT JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

&

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.C.BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO :9071 of 2006

Dated: 28.4.2006

Between:

Ch. Subbayamma, W/o late C.S. Rao.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Station House Officer, Vanasthalipuram police station, Rangareddy District,
Cyberabad and others.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SMT JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI

&

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.C.BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO :9071 of 2006

ORDER: (Per the Honourable Smt.Justice T.Meenakumari)

This is a taken up case on the Telegram dated 12.4.2006 sent by the petitioner seeking a direction, in the nature of writ of Habeas Corpus, to call for the records and remarks from the respondents as to detention of her son viz., Chunduri Srihari, Practicing Advocate till 11.30 a.m. to reveal the whereabouts of his clients viz., Laxmi Narayaa and Ponnala Deepak Kumar.

The respondents have filed the counter-affidavit denying the allegations in the Telegram. It is contended in the counter that in connection with Crime No.434 of 2005 for the offence under section 420 IPC, the alleged detenu was called and his statement was recorded and at that time, the petitioner was also present there and thereafter, they left the police station.

It is submitted across the Bar by the learned Government Pleader for Home that the alleged detenu was called to the police station in connection with the above crime number and his statement was recorded and thereafter, he left the police station and therefore, the question of alleged detention does not arise.

In view of the submission made by the learned G.P. for home and in view of the averments made in the counter-affidavit, We are of the view that no further orders are necessary in this writ petition.

In the result, this writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

(T.MEENAKUMARI, J)

22nd March, 2006

(K.C.BHANU, J)

GURC

and therefore, the alleged detenu and his father left the police station. Subsequently, after the due investigation, since the dispute pertains to the civil in nature, both the alleged detenu and his father were advised to approach the

Civil Court.

It is submitted across the Bar by the learned Advocate General that there are civil disputes between the alleged detenue and his father and on the complaint given by his father, the alleged detenue was called to the police and then both of them were advised to approach the Civil Court for their redressal and therefore, the question of alleged detention does not arise.

In view of the submission made by the learned Additional Advocate General and in view of the averments made in the counter, We are of the view that no further orders are necessary in this writ petition.

In the result, this writ petition is dismissed. No costs.

(T.MEENAKUMARI, J)

22nd March, 2006

(K.C.BHANU, J)

GURC

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE T.MEENA KUMARI
&
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE K.C.BHANU

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

(Order delivered by the Honourable Smt.Justice T.Meena Kumari)

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

6TH JANNUARY, 2006.